#### Wiltshire Council

Cabinet
13 December 2016

Council 21 February 2017

Subject: Proposals to Proposal to change the Council Tax

**Reduction Scheme 2017** 

Cabinet Member: Councillor Dick Tonge, Cabinet Member for Finance

**Key Decision:** No

## **Executive Summary**

Following government proposals to amend the national housing benefit regulations and having compared our current scheme with other authorities it was deemed appropriate to undertake a more in-depth review of the CTR scheme.

This report seeks agreement for six changes to the CTR scheme with effect from April 2017 in order to :-

- Bring closer alignment of Wiltshire Council's CTR scheme with Housing Benefit and other national benefits.
- Improve the administration process

The review process has to involve public consultation in respect of both legislative change, and the locally designed, discretionary aspects of the CTR scheme.

The report evaluates the proposed changes, the results of the consultation process, the impact if they were introduced and makes recommendations that will need to be agreed by the Council. The report also contains the estimated number of recipients' affected and financial impact, contained in Appendix 1 and an equality assessment at Appendix 2 and the results of the consultation process at Appendix 3.

This report forms part of the suite of reports to set the council's tax base for 2017-18 and the council tax setting process in February 2017.

## **Proposal**

Council is asked to agree changes to the council tax reduction scheme as set out at section 18 of this report, with effect from April 2017.

## **Reason for Proposal**

It is an annual requirement for the council to review its local Council Tax Reduction (CTR) scheme and make recommendations for change as required. Since its introduction in April 2013 the scheme has been 'refreshed' annually to take account of minor data changes, but the core elements have been retained. However in the last 18 months there have been some changes to the national housing benefit scheme and it was felt that without timely intervention the schemes would drift apart causing both administrative difficulties and confusion for the customer. With further reductions in funding it was felt that the scheme should also be reviewed, in comparison with other local authorities.

Carolyn Godfrey Corporate Director

#### Wiltshire Council

Cabinet 13 December 2016

Council 21 February 2017

Subject: Proposals to Proposal to change the Council Tax

**Reduction Scheme 2017** 

Cabinet Member: Councillor Dick Tonge, Cabinet Member for Finance

**Key Decision:** No

## 1. Purpose of Report

1.1 To seek agreement on proposals to make changes to the Council Tax Reduction Scheme (CTR) with effect from April 2017.

#### 2. Relevance to the Council's Business Plan

2.1 The CTR scheme is a means tested benefit, available to low income households and supports the Council's business plan by offering financial support through the reduction of council tax. The award is made to people who own their own homes and who rent. It is a local benefit which works in conjunction with other national benefits, specifically housing benefit and therefore supports some of the most vulnerable in our communities.

#### 3. Main Considerations for the Council

- 3.1 The CTR scheme in Wiltshire is a means tested benefit that currently provides financial support to 27,000 households on a low income, at a current annual cost of £23.25 million. Entitlement results in a reduction in an applicant's Council Tax. The current scheme replaced the national Council Tax Benefit scheme in April 2013. Until 2013 the scheme was fully funded by government. Funding from 2013 saw an overall reduction of 10%.
- 3.2 The CTR scheme for working age customers is a local scheme and varies in design between local authorities. Rules governing the scheme demand consultation on changes to the scheme adding a complexity to a process which is already subject to complex rules and regulations. For pensionage households the scheme is set nationally by Central Government and prescribed by regulations, so cannot be varied locally. The proposed changes will affect non-pensioners only.

#### 4. Background

4.1 In designing its current scheme, the council conducted an extensive programme of consultation with its precepting authorities, key

stakeholders and the public. The working age scheme adopted by the council in 2013 retained the main elements of the former Council Tax Benefit scheme with the following exceptions:

- All working recipients unless classified as protected (see bullet point below) are required to pay at least 20% of their Council Tax liability.
- Certain protected groups can receive up to 100% of their Council
  Tax. Protected groups include people in receipt of the Support
  Component of Employment Support Allowance (ESA) and all those
  who qualify for the disability premium or people in receipt of a war
  disablement pension, or in receipt of any of the war widows' or
  widowers' pensions
- Capital savings limit of £10,000. Protected groups limit of £16,000.
- Fixed rate non dependant deduction In households where there are working age children and relatives (non-dependants), a fixed rate deduction is made from the CTR award.
- Enhanced income allowance (taper) to encourage work.
- A vulnerability/hardship fund to provide additional financial help.
- 4.2 Retaining the core elements of the old Council Tax Benefit scheme albeit with the exceptions outlined above has preserved the means test in its current form, which provides both a robust mechanism for determining entitlement and both protection and work incentives that have been developed and honed over almost 30 years. Despite the introduction of the local scheme in 2013 council tax collections rates have remained stable and increased from 97.7% in 2014-15 to 97.9% in 2015-16.

#### 5. Cost of Current Scheme

5.1 Since the start of the scheme in 2013/14 funding has been included within the Revenue Support Grant which has been reducing year on year.

5.2 The following table provides a summary of year on year expenditure and caseload:

	Total caseloa d @ 31 <sup>st</sup> March	Working age caseloa d	Pension age caseloa d	Working age expenditure	Pension age expenditur e	Total annual expenditure
2013/1 4	29,497	15,980	13,517	£12,298,763	£12,528,71 2	£24,827,47 5
2014/1 5	28,237	15,373	12,864	£11,983,023	£11,898,25	£23,881,27 7
2015/1 6	27,078	14,033	12,181	£11,826,681	£11,165,96	£22,992,64 2
2016/1 7 foreca st	26,499	14,621	11,878	£12,156,794	£11,087,67 6	£23,244,47 0

5.3 As the above table illustrates the overall level of recipients, both working age and pension age, has fallen since the scheme was introduced. The slight increase in costs of the scheme in 2016-17 is as a result of rises in council tax.

## 6 Proposals for Change

- 6.1 In view of the financial pressures, to synchronise the scheme in line with other welfare changes and better fit with the national picture the proposals to change the scheme were the subject of an extensive programme of public consultation.
- 6.2 The potential changes to the scheme upon which the consultation took place are:
  - Removing the Family Premium for all new working age applicants.
     (which brings the CTR scheme in line with changes to the housing benefit regulations)
  - Backdating claims for up to one month where appropriate. (Currently there is no ability to backdate, so this proposal brings the CTR scheme in line with changes to the housing benefit regulations
  - Limiting the number of dependent children within the calculation for Council Tax Reduction to a maximum of 2. (Which brings the CTR scheme in line with proposed changes to the housing benefit regulations)
  - Bringing the capital limit for 'Protected' people in line with all other working age applicants by reducing it from £16,000 to £10,000.
  - Restricting the working age protected category.
  - No change to the amount of Council Tax Reduction an applicant receives if the amount they are entitled to changes by a pound or less.

6.3 The results of the consultation have been fully evaluated alongside necessary Equality Assessment and risk assessments. 929 Responses were received. There has been unilateral support for the proposals made. The financial impact of the changes is shown at Appendix 1 which provides a commentary on each of the above proposals together with the number of claims likely to be affected. The Equality Assessment is provided at Appendix 2 and the results of the consultation process are shown at Appendix 3.

## 7. Overview and Scrutiny Engagement

- 7.1 None.
- 8. Safeguarding Implications
- 8.1 None
- 9. Public Health Implications
- 9.1 None
- 10. Procurement Implications
- 10.1 None
- 11. **Equalities Impact of the Proposal** (detailing conclusions identified from Equality Analysis, sections 4 and 5)
- 11.1 The strategy recognises the need for equality assessments in establishing the rules of a council tax reduction scheme. By its very nature the scheme is aimed at those on a low income who are liable for council tax. Whilst the report proposes changes to the current scheme that will provide both gains and losses it is felt that the most controversial change is the withdrawal of the up to 100% reduction for those in receipt of the support component of ESA. However the proposal to reduce this to a maximum of 80% brings a consistency of award to all those in receipt of passported welfare benefits (those on Job Seekers Allowance and Income Support). Those who receive ESA in conjunction with Disability Living Allowance, (DLA) and its replacement, Personal Independence Payments (PIP) will continue to receive up to 100% council tax reduction. It also brings our treatment of those on ESA in line with neighbouring authorities in Swindon, Bath and South Gloucester.

## 12. Environmental and Climate Change Considerations

12.1 None

#### 13. Risk Assessment

13.1 There is a risk that changing the scheme may make the challenge of collecting council tax from some household onerous. However the discretionary aspects of the scheme mean that the Revenues and Benefits Service has a number of options available to support those least able to cope with the challenges presented by a council tax bill.

## 14. Risks that may arise if the proposed decision and related work is not taken

- 14.1 Failing to keep the local CTR scheme in line with the latest approaches to the delivery of housing benefit involves a number of risks:
  - The first being the complexity of administering schemes with different rules, and explaining these to our customers
  - Secondly justifying our position if we chose not to introduce current thinking or legislation and are challenged at an appeal tribunal where disputes over entitlement are settled

# 15. Risks that may arise if the proposed decision is taken and actions that will be taken to manage these risks

15.1 There is a risk that non-payment may increase; however since the local council tax reduction was introduced there is no evidence to suggest that overall indebtedness to the council has increased. The discretionary council tax reduction scheme, which is a cash limited fund, provides an opportunity to reduce a council tax debt in conjunction with means testing, where a debt has become unmanageable or where the individual is known to have a number of debts with the authority.

#### 16. Financial Implications

16.1 This proposal will, if accepted, provide savings in terms of the overall cost of the council tax reduction scheme. It is difficult to determine savings with any precision because they are based on the treatment of new claims from April 2017 and the level of churn as people leave and join the scheme. Based on the figures contained in Appendix 1 savings could feasibly amount to £250,000 per annum; however due to the level of uncertainty in terms of the claims affected the tax base has not been adjusted to reflect any savings, but will be included in next year's calculation.

## 17. Legal Implications

17.1 The council have a duty to operate a council tax reduction scheme. Since 2013 the onus has been placed on every local authority to not only design and publish a scheme but also to maintain the scheme in conjunction with other national welfare benefits. The proposed changes which historically would have been instigated by an instruction from Government are now made more complex. The challenge for the authority is not so much that the proposals have significant legal implications, on the contrary, the proposals bring the administration of housing benefit closer, the implications are whether the change mechanism has been correctly followed. The production of this report and the consultation process ensures that all legal implications have been mitigated.

## 18. Options Considered

## 18.1 The following options were assessed:

- It is recommended that the proposal to backdate claims for CTR by one month is accepted which again brings the CTR scheme in line with the latest housing benefit regulations and reduces the complexity of administration, enabling claims for both housing benefit and CTR to start at the same time.
  - (87% of respondents agreed with this proposal, 9% disagreed)
- It is recommended that the family premium is no longer taken into consideration for new claims; this change brings the CTR scheme in line with the national housing benefit scheme.
  - (56% of respondents agreed with this proposal, 29% disagreed)
- It is recommended that the proposal to limit the level of capital and or savings be set at £10,000, holding capital or savings greater than this figure will preclude entitlement to CTR, a proposal which will mean the end of entitlement to CTR for around 30 households.

(69% of respondents agreed with this proposal, 25% disagreed)

The process to introduce these changes started at the beginning of the financial year when it was envisaged the government would clarify their intentions regarding the limiting of the number of dependent children within the claim to two. There has been some further exemptions made to their proposals but it is recommended that to bring the CTR scheme in line with the national approach the number of children on any new claim should be restricted to a maximum of two children unless the case is deemed exempt. (Implementation of this proposal is dependent on the Government introducing the changes to housing benefit.) (74% of respondents agreed with this proposal, 22% disagreed)  In order to minimise administration and the impact of frequent reassessment of claims, it is recommended that claims are not adjusted where a reported change affects weekly entitlement by less than £1.00.

(89% of respondents agreed with this proposal, 7% disagreed)

Finally the most controversial proposal concerns protected groups and specifically those groups in receipt of the support component of ESA who do not qualify as protected on other grounds. Whilst the proposal has had support demonstrated by the consultation process, there has also been some criticism that the proposal runs contra to our mental health strategy. It is estimated that 780 households will have to contribute a minimum of 20% toward their council tax, however there is evidence to suggest that around 48% of those in receipt of ESA do suffer issues with mental health issues, which can be exacerbated by debt. Proposals to mitigate the possible impact are set out in the Equality Impact Assessment.

(64% of respondents agreed with this proposal, 28% disagreed)

#### 19. Conclusions

19.1 Following consultation Wiltshire's CTR scheme is amended to reflect feedback as set out at section 18 of this report. Any savings will be tracked over 2017/18 and the tax base adjusted appropriately in the following year.

#### Michael Hudson (Associate Director, Finance)

Report Author: Ian P Brown

Date of report 11 November 2016

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1 Analysis of proposals

Appendix 2 Equality Assessment

Appendix 3 Results of Consultation and comments from interested parties.

## **Background Papers**

None